

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/127,059	07/31/1998	AMEDEO LEONARDI	648/1D340-US	9662
7590 02/03/2004			EXAMINER	
ROBERT C SULLIVAN			BERNHARDT, EMILY B	
DARBY & DARBY				
805 THIRD AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10022			1624	

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/127,059	LEONARDI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
	· 	Emily Bernhardt	1624	
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fro - If the period for reply spec - If NO period for reply is sp - Failure to reply within the second of the period by the	E OF THIS COMMUNICATI e available under the provisions of 37 C im the mailing date of this communicati ified above is less than thirty (30) days ecified above, the maximum statutory set or extended period for reply will, by	FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recon. on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.	
Status				
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on	13 November 2003		
,		This action is non-final.		
,			ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
		der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D		
Disposition of Claims				
4a) Of the above 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3 and</u> 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4</u> 7)□ Claim(s)	119-21 is/are allowed. and 5 is/are rejected. is/are objected to.	the application. Indication consideration. Indication requirement.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification	on is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s)	filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.	
		o the drawing(s) be held in abeyan		
			s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) I he oath or dec	claration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119	8		
a) All b) So 1. Certified 2. Certified 3. Copies o	ome * c) None of: copies of the priority docur copies of the priority docur	ments have been received in Appriority documents have been a	oplication No	
		a list of the certified copies not r	eceived.	
Attachmont(s)				
Attachment(s) 1)	ed (PTO-892)	∧□		
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 tatement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	B) Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 09/127,059

Art Unit: 1624

In view of applicants' response filed 11/13/03 only the following applies.

Claims 1,2,4 and 5 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. While applicants have for the most part adopted the examiner's suggestion in the previous action, the optional substitution permitted for choice (iv), the indole ring system, is new matter. As stated in the previous action, the substituents listed on p.5 of the specification are limited to aryl groups. There is no such list seen for heteroaryls and otherwise no examples describing such a scope.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1,2, 4 and 5 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiota (WO'329) for reasons given previously. Compounds # 1, 8 and 9 remain obvious variants of that claimed herein. Applicants do not urge patentable distinctness for closest instant compounds but rather urge the reference has been antedated. While a complete, certified English translation of

Application/Control Number: 09/127,059

Art Unit: 1624

applicants' priority papers has been provided and does provide descriptive support, the claims cannot be accorded benefit of earlier 119 date in view of the new matter rejection still present in the instant case which lacks descriptive support in the instant specification for substitution at indole ring. Overcoming the new matter rejection would entitle applicants to benefit under 35 USC 119.

Claims 3 and 19-21 remain allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emily Bernhardt whose telephone number is (703)308-4714.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the acting supervisor for AU 1624, James O. Wilson can be reached at 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Emily Bernhardt Primary Examiner

F Beinhard

Art Unit 1624